Crime Mapping and Crime Prevention

David Weisburd and Tom McEwen Editors

CRIME PREVENTION STUDIES Volume 8

♦

Criminal Justice Press Monsey, New York, U.S.A. 1997

CRIME PREVENTION STUDIES

Ronald V. Clarke, Series Editor

© Copyright 1997 by Willow Tree Press, Inc. All rights reserved.

Printed in the United States of America. No part of this book may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission, except for brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews. For information, contact Willow Tree Press, Inc., P.O. Box 249, Monsey, NY 10952 U.S.A.

ISSN (series): 1065-7029

ISBN: 1-881798-08-9

Contents

FOREWORD Longon Travia	•
Jeremy Travis	V
INTRODUCTION	
Crime Mapping and Crime Prevention David Weisburd and Tom McEwen	1
MAPPING AS A CRIME PREVENTION TOOL	
The GeoArchive: An Information Foundation for Community Policing Carolyn Rebecca Block	27
Using Geographical Tools with Interagency Work Groups to Develop and Implement Crime Control Strategies Faye S. Taxman and Tom McEwen	83
Power to the People: Mapping and Information Sharing in the Chicago Police Department Marc Buslik and Michael D. Maltz.	113
Crime Mapping in Police Departments: The Challenges of Building a Mapping System Lorraine Green Mazerolle, Charles Bellucci and Frank Gajewski	131
Geographic Information Systems and Crime Analysis in Baltimore County, Maryland <i>Philip R. Canter.</i>	.157
CRIME MAPPING IN RESEARCH	
Cognitive Mapping of the City Center: Comparative Perceptions of Dangerous Places George F. Rengertand William V. Pelfrey, Jr.	.193
The (Un)Known Universe: Mapping Gangs and Gang Violence in Boston David M. Kennedy, Anthony A. Braga and Anne M. Piehl.	219

iv— Contents	
Mapping Crime for Analytic Purposes: Location Quotients, Counts and Rates Patricia L. Brantingham and Paul J. Brantingham	.263
Mapping It Out: Repeat-Address Burglary Alarms and Burglaries James L. LeBeau and Karen L. Vincent CRIME MAPPING IN THE NEXT DECADE	. 289
Artificial Neural Networks and Crime Mapping Andreas M. Olligschlaeger	313
SMART Mapping for Law Enforcement Settings: Integrating GIS and GPS for Dynamic, Near-Real Time Applications and Analysis Severin L. Sorensen	349
What Do Those Dots Mean? Mapping Theories with Data John E. Eck	379

Subject Index 407

FOREWORD

This book emerged from the Drug Market Analysis Program (DMAP) sponsored by the National Institute of Justice (NIJ) in five cities across the country. The DMAP aimed for an improved understanding of the dynamics of local drug markets through computer mapping coupled with analytical techniques. In Jersey City, NJ, for example, the project team established a computer mapping capability for the police department, analyzed data on the city's drug markets from a variety of sources, and conducted a successful field test aimed at disrupting local markets. Having established a computer mapping system, they quickly discovered other uses for computer mapping that included crime maps, maps of citizen calls, and maps to assist investigations. In short, any data with addresses could be mapped.

The versatility of computer mapping brings both positive and negative features. On the positive side, it adds a tool for better understanding of crime and its prevention. Analysts can look more closely at crime clusters and crime displacement. Careful mapping can show whether enforcement efforts have been effective and whether areas with crime concentrations are receiving proper attention. Interestingly, computer mapping may also show that crime is not a problem in an area. On the negative side, computer mapping requires us to pay more attention to the analysis that goes into a map's creation, which, in turn, requires more attention to crime prevention theory. It is my belief that theory has been frequently overlooked in computer mapping — a deficiency that is addressed in several of the chapters of this book.

It is clear from NIJ's research efforts that interest is growing in computer mapping among several diverse groups. Crime analysts are interested because of their support role in strategic and tactical operations in law enforcement agencies. Police managers want timely and accurate information for more rapid deployment of personnel, and they want follow-up and assessments of their crime prevention efforts. Computer mapping aids in these aims. Geographers have interests in the distributions, patterns, and relationships of crime, and they strive for new tools to connect crime characteristics and physical surroundings. Finally, criminologists have interest in crime mapping as a means of developing and verifying crime prevention theories.

What I also find of value in the contributions to this book is the range of analytical power that can be achieved with spatial analysis and mapping. On its most basic level, computer maps provide simple descriptions of crime events on a geographic basis, usually emphasized by shading the high- and low-density areas. These maps are simple, straightforward applications that are essential to the every-day work of crime analysis and crime prevention. At the other extreme are maps produced from sophisticated analytical schemes steeped in appropriate theory, in which the geographic area is a part of the spatial analysis and the aim is to show how crime moves or is displaced.

In response to the interest in computer mapping, we have established the Crime Mapping Research Center within NIJ for the purpose of contributing to both applied and basic research in the area of the analytical mapping of crime. The contributors to this book played a part in the foundation of this center. As currently envisioned, the center will fill a void by developing stronger analytical tools for computer mapping.

In summary, I recommend this book to anyone with an interest in computer mapping and its application to crime prevention. The contributions are from leading researchers and practitioners in the field who offer a number of insights on this important subject.

Jeremy Travis
Director,
U.S. National Institute of Justice

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We owe a debt of gratitude to many people who have helped us to conceptualize, develop and produce this edited volume. While we cannot name here all of those we have spoken to, or asked advice from, or who kindly offered their time to improve this effort, we want at the outset to identify a few of those who have helped us in particular to produce this work. Perhaps our greatest debt is to Craig Uchida (now at the Office of Community Oriented Policing), who, as Director of Research of the National Institute of Justice, developed the Drug Market Analysis Program with its emphasis on crime mapping. He not only encouraged our efforts but played a pioneering role in bringing computer mapping technologies to criminal justice.

We also want to thank others at the Institute who have supported our efforts, including Richard Titus, who monitored our grant for development of the manuscript, and Nancy La Vigne, the Director of the Center for Computer Mapping. A particular debt is owed to the Director of the National Institute of Justice, Jeremy Travis, who has placed computer mapping on the criminal justice agenda and has taken the time not only to review our publication but to provide a Foreword to it.

Along with contributing author Phil Canter, we want to acknowledge the memory of Kai Martensen, who was an inspiration and mentor to many professionals in the criminal justice field. His professional career of over four decades was devoted to advancing the police profession, including the use of analysis and computer technologies.

Among many who supported our efforts, we especially want to thank Michael Maltz for providing advice and consultation on a diverse set of issues, both historical and technical; Daniel Salem for providing thoughtful assistance; and Joan Peterschmidt for support in organizing the manuscript and preparing the index. Finally we want to thank Ronald Clarke, the editor of the Crime Prevention Studies Series, and Richard AUinson of Criminal Justice Press for their interest in developing a volume devoted to computer mapping issues.

Financial support for development of the volume was generously provided by a grant (92-DD-CX-K031) from the National Institute of Justice. Opinions and positions expressed in the volume are those of the authors or editors and do not necessarily represent the positions or policies of the National Institute of Justice or the Department of Justice.